Biomedical Engineering for Global Health

Lecture Twenty-Two



FDA

- Regulates products whose annual sales account for ¼ of consumer spending in US
- Responsible for ensuring SAFETY and EFFICACY of CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL agents and sophisticated medical DEVICES
- Safe:
 - Probable benefits to health for intended use outweigh any probable risk of harm
- Effective:
 - Device does what it is supposed to do in a reliable fashion

History of Regulation

1906

- Food and Drug Act
- Can't lie on label
- 1938
 - Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act
 - Premarket notification
- **1962**
 - Drug amendments to FD&C Act
 - Premarket approval

1976

Medical Device Amendments to FD&C Act

1994

Dietary Supplement Health Education Act

Today

CANNOT mention disease

CAN make claims that food can affect structure of function of body

Examples:

- CANNOT say that a product reduced cholesterol but CAN say it maintains healthy cholesterol levels
- CANNOT say echinacea cures disease, but CAN say it has natural antibiotic activities and is considered an excellent herb for infections of all kinds

| | Clinical Trials | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|----------------------|---|
| | Discovery/ Preclinical Testing | | Phase I | Phase II | Phase III | | FDA | Phase IV |
| Years | 6.5 | | 1.5 | 2 | 3.5 | | 1.5 | |
| Test Population | Laboratory and animal studies | FDA | 20 to 100 healthy volunteers | 100 to 500 patient volunteers | 1,000 to 5,000 patient volunteers | t FDA | Review | Additional |
| Purpose | Assess safety, biological activity and formulations | File IND at | Determine safety and dosage | Evaluate effectiveness, look for side effects | Confirm effectiveness, monitor adverse reactions from long-term use | File NDA at | process/ approval | post- marketing testing required by FDA |
| Success Rate | 5,000 compounds evaluated | | 5 enter trials | | | | 1 approved | |

THE DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL PROCESS

Post-Marketing Surveillance

- Vioxx withdrawn from market
- Celebrex black box warning
- Bextra sales suspended
- http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/
- http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story. php?storyId=4500447
- http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story. php?storyId=5229443

http://www.npr.org/templates/story/s tory.php?storyId=5336272

Regulation of Medical Devices

- FDA did not regulate devices before 1938
 1938:
 - FDA could only challenge sale of products it believed were unsafe
 - Could only remove them from the market after patient injuries
- 1960s:
 - Rapid innovation in medical technology
 - Tried to regulate many as drugs: contact lenses, IUDs
 - Catastrophic failures of heart valves and pacemakers
- 1970s:
 - Broad recognition that different rules were needed to regulate devices

1976

Device amendments to FD&C Act:
 No single policy would work for all devices
 Tongue depressor
 Artificial heart

1976: Device amendments to FD&C Act

Three classes of devices:

- Class I:
 - Pose least risk to patient
 - Not life sustaining
 - GMP, proper record keeping required
 - 30% of devices
 - X-ray film, tongue depressors, stethoscopes
- Class II:
 - Not life sustaining, but must meet performance standards
 - Blood pressure monitors, Catheter guide wires
 - 60% of devices

Class III:

- Pose greatest risk to patient
- For use in supporting or sustaining human life
- 10% of devices
- Stents, heart valves, LVADs
- Require GMP, failure modes analysis, animal tests, human clinical studies under IDE

Role of CDRH

- Ensure that products coming to market have more benefit than risk
- Ensure that products are labeled so that practitioners and patients know what to expect from their use
- Regulates 1,700 types of devices
- 23,000 registered manufacturers
- 1996: received 20,236 device related submissions

Device Approval Process

- Device + intended use considered together
- Manufacturer submits request for marketing approval
- Advisory panel:
 - One consumer representative (non-voting)
 - One industry representative (non-voting)
 - Physicians and scientists

FDA not required to follow recommendations of panel, although they usually do

IDE

Investigational Device Exemption

- Enables experimental use of high risk device
- Must have positive engineering and animal data
- First give approval for feasibility studies with small number of patients
- Then proceed to multi-center trials
- Larger data sets frequently show results from small sample sets are not true

Humanitarian Use Exemption

- Device designed to treat or diagnose condition that affects <4,000 patients/year
- Device would not otherwise be available without exemption
- No comparable device is available
- Patients will not be exposed to unreasonable or significant risk of injury or illness by device

Medical Device Reporting

- System to detect device related problems in a timely manner
- Serious injuries or deaths that may have been caused by or related to a a medical device must be reported to the manufacturer of the device within 10 days
- Must be reported to the FDA within 10 days

Recently Approved Devices

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdr h/cfdocs/cfTopic/MDA/mda-list.cfm?list=1

NIRflex Stent System

- <u>http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mda/docs/p020040.</u>
 <u>html</u>
- <u>http://www.medinol.com/nirflex.html</u>
- http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/PDF2/P020040a.pdf
- http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/PDF2/P020040.html

Who Funds R&D? Who Does R&D?

Figure 4-12.

National R&D expenditures, by source of funds, performing sector, and character of work: 2000



Types of Universities

Carnegie Classification Taxonomy of colleges and universities Rice Doctorate-Granting Institutions Research Universities /Very High Research Activity Research Universities/ High Research Activity Doctoral/Research Universities Master's Colleges & Universities Baccalaureate Colleges http://www.carnegieclassificationpreview.org/index.aspx

Total Research Expenditures @ Rice

\$80,000,000 -\$70,000,000 -\$60,000,000 -\$50,000,000 -\$40,000,000 -\$20,000,000 -\$10,000,000 -



R&D Funding for Biomedical Research

Federal government:
Funds ~ 36% of all medical research in US
Mostly funded through <u>NIH</u>:
Current NIH budget: \$28 billion/year
NIH budget doubled from 1998-2003
This year: 0% increase
Focus is on basic research

US Senate Report – May, 2000

21 drugs introduced between 1965 and 1992:

- Considered by experts to have had highest therapeutic impact on society
- Public funding of research was instrumental in development of 15 of the 21 drugs (71%)
- Three-captopril (Capoten), fluoxetine (Prozac), and acyclovir (Zovirax)-had more than \$1 billion in sales in 1994 and 1995
- Others, including AZT, acyclovir, fluconazole (Diflucan), foscarnet (Foscavir), and ketoconazole (Nizoral), had NIH funding and research to help in clinical trials

NIH

- National Cancer Institute
- National Eye Institute
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
- National Human Genome Research Institute
- National Institute on Aging
- National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
- National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases
- National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
- National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders
- National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases
- National Institute on Drug Abuse
- National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
- National Institute of General Medical Sciences
- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke
- National Institute of Nursing Research
- National Library of Medicine

The Funding Process

- NIH → Issues request for proposals
- Investigator → Writes a proposal
 - Hypothesis
 - Background & Significance
 - Preliminary Results
 - Research Design and Methods
 - Protection of Animals and Human Subjects
- Peer-Review
 - Score
 - Comments
- Institutional Review
- Funding Decision